
PLANNING COMMITTEE

MINUTES of the Meeting held in the Council Chamber, Swale House, East Street, Sittingbourne, Kent, ME10 3HT on Thursday, 10 October 2019 from 7.03pm - 10.37pm.

PRESENT: Councillors Cameron Beart, Monique Bonney, Roger Clark, Simon Clark, Tim Gibson (Chairman), James Hall, James Hunt, Carole Jackson, Peter Marchington, Ben J Martin (Substitute for Councillor Eddie Thomas), Richard Palmer (Substitute for Councillor Elliott Jayes), David Simmons, Paul Stephen, Tim Valentine and Tony Winckless.

OFFICERS PRESENT: Simon Algar, Rob Bailey, Tracey Beattie, Andy Byrne, Philippa Davies, Paul Gregory, Andrew Jeffers, Benedict King, Andrew Spiers, Steve Wilcock and Jim Wilson.

ALSO IN ATTENDANCE: Councillors Richard Darby and Roger Truelove.

APOLOGIES: Councillors Elliott Jayes, Benjamin Martin and Eddie Thomas.

288 GILL HARRIS

The Chairman announced that Gill Harris, Spatial Planning Manager had very recently passed away after a short illness. He acknowledged the work that Gill had carried out at the Council and offered the Committee's condolences.

289 EMERGENCY EVACUATION PROCEDURE

The Chairman ensured that those present were aware of the emergency evacuation procedure.

290 MINUTES

The Minutes of the Meeting held on 12 September 2019 (Minute Nos. 222 – 229) were taken as read, approved and signed by the Chairman as a correct record. The Minutes of the Extraordinary Meeting held on 3 October 2019 (Minute Nos. 271 - 276) were taken as read, approved and signed by the Chairman as a correct record, subject to deleting the words 'from Meeting' from the recorded voting so that it read 'Absent'.

291 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Councillor Monique Bonney declared an interest in respect of item 2.8, 18/506417/FULL, Land at Southsea Avenue, Scarborough Drive, Augustine Road, Sexburga Drive and The Broadway, Minster, in her role as Cabinet Member for Property and Economy as some of the application site was owned by the Council. Councillor Bonney left the Chamber whilst this application was considered.

292 DEFERRED ITEMS

Reports shown in previous Minutes as being deferred from that Meeting

REFERENCE NO - 18/503057/FULL			
APPLICATION PROPOSAL			
Erection of a 3 storey, 66 bed care home for older people with associated access, car park and landscaping.			
ADDRESS Land At Perry Court Ashford Road Faversham Kent ME13 8YA			
WARD Watling	PARISH/TOWN COUNCIL Faversham Town	APPLICANT LNT Care Developments & HDD (Faversham) Ltd	
		AGENT LNT Construction Ltd	

The Senior Planning Officer referred Members to the tabled paper for this item which set-out additional consultee comments and a further condition requested by Southern Water requiring details of foul drainage. He reminded Members that the application had been deferred on 18 July 2019 to review the design and to look again at the renewable energy measures. The Senior Planning Officer showed the Committee the before and after designs. Gables were now provided to the projecting bays, and the material palette had been simplified, with no render. The scheme now proposed to integrate PV slates on the south side of the roof, covering an area of 110 – 140 square metres. The provision of 20 car parking spaces had been acceptable to Kent County Council (KCC) Highways and Transportation, but following Member concerns, two further spaces had now been added which was in excess of the parking provision required by KCC.

Town Councillor Julian Saunders, representing Faversham Town Council, spoke in support of the application.

Mrs Jo Kemp, on behalf of the Applicants, spoke in support of the application.

The Chairman invited Members to ask questions.

A Member asked if there was a condition requiring local bricks and materials? The Senior Planning Officer explained that there was a condition requiring samples of the materials to be used, but it did not state local materials. The Major Projects Officer acknowledged the need to decrease carbon emissions by reducing transport of materials and said there was scope to amend the condition to make it clear that the materials were to be locally sourced.

A Member asked what arrangement would be made for the properties within the application site to be on mains drainage? The Senior Planning Officer explained that mains drainage would be installed for the wider Perry Court development. He understood that the capacity had been designed to incorporate the care home, and the adjacent site as well. Currently there was no confirmation of the technical

details, but Southern Water had requested a condition for this. The Member asked about the off-site highway works, including works to the A2/A251 junction and the pedestrian crossing at the Abbey School, and for confirmation that the £300,000 had been received by KCC? The Senior Planning Officer explained that the £300,000 would be paid prior to the occupancy of the first dwelling on the site, and he was not aware of any occupancy, as reported by the Member, but if this was the case, this would be looked into.

A Member requested further details of the open spaces for the residents of the care home. The Senior Planning Officer explained that there were communal gardens around the home and these were 14 metres deep to the south of the grounds, and there were other areas of green to the north of the care home as well.

A Member asked what the rating was of the EV charge points and the Senior Planning Officer explained that this was not confirmed at this stage, but was subject to a planning condition.

The Chairman moved the officer recommendation to approve the application and this was seconded by Councillor Ben J Martin.

Members were invited to debate the application and raised points which included:

- Pleased to see the applicant/agent take-on Members' concerns with the design of the building, it was far superior to what it had been;
- the delivery hours (0700 hours to 2300 hours) should be amended to 0700 hours to 2100 hours;
- welcomed the design changes, but the removal of the render resulted in the building not suiting the streetscene;
- amendments to the roof lines and projections were an improvement;
- disappointed that there were not more projections on the roofs; and
- overall this was an improvement.

Councillor Monique Bonney moved the following amendment and addendum: That no deliveries should take place outside the hours of 0700 hours and 2100 hours Monday to Sunday, and an additional condition to stipulate that the building materials were locally sourced and made, including bricks. This was seconded by Councillor Ben J Martin.

Members spoke to the amendment and made comments which included:

- Appreciated the sentiment of going for local, but this could be difficult to do;
- this would tie the developer down too much;
- this should not be a condition, but stated 'across the board' as an informative to developers generally; and
- the amendment should be treated as two separate items.

Councillor Monique Bonney moved the following amendment: That no deliveries shall take place outside the hours of 0700 hours and 2100 hours Monday to Sunday. This was seconded by Councillor Ben J Martin and on being put to the vote the amendment was agreed.

Councillor Monique Bonney moved the following addendum: That where possible local building materials, in particular bricks, were sourced in Swale. This was seconded by Councillor Ben J Martin and on being put to the vote the addendum was agreed.

Resolved: That application 18/503057/FULL be approved subject to conditions (1) to (31) in the report, with an amendment to condition (3) to refer to the use of local materials, particularly bricks, being sourced in Swale where possible, and condition (7) in the report so that no deliveries shall take place outside the hours of 0700 hours and 2100 hours Monday to Sunday, and one further condition requiring details of foul drainage.

Def Item 2 REFERENCE NO - 18/502735/FULL		
APPLICATION PROPOSAL Erection of a new supermarket (Use Class A1) and a hotel (Use Class C1) along with associated accesses, car and cycling parking, lighting, drainage, hard and soft landscaping and associated infrastructure.		
ADDRESS Land At Perry Court Ashford Road Faversham Kent ME13 8YA		
WARD Watling	PARISH/TOWN COUNCIL Faversham Town	APPLICANT HDD (Faversham) Limited And Premier Inn Hotels Limited AGENT Pegasus Planning Group

The Senior Planning Officer introduced the report and reminded Members that the application had been called-in at the 12 September 2019 meeting. The report provided advice on various issues that had been raised at that meeting. This included the design of the buildings. He outlined the changes as noted in the report and explained that there was now greater clarity in the hotel building, to provide more depth to the building with the timber cladding further away from the brick work. The Brise Soleil detailing on the supermarket had been increased in size. The Senior Planning Officer reported that the EV fast charge points had been added to the hotel site. Sustainable transport funding had increased from £20,000 to £30,000 following negotiations with KCC Highways and Transportation for additional bus stop facilities. The Senior Planning Officer referred to the two tabled updates for this item which included responses to an air quality report from the University of Kent from the Environmental Protection Team Leader.

Town Councillor Julian Saunders, representing Faversham Town Council, spoke in support of the application.

Miles Young, an objector, spoke against the application.

Scott Davidson, the Applicant, spoke in support of the application.

The Chairman invited Members to ask questions.

A Member asked if there was a local trade agreement for construction? The Senior Planning Officer explained that this had not been discussed to-date, but a clause could be added to the Section 106 Agreement if Members wished to.

A Member asked if the hotel and retail parts of the application could be considered separately and the Senior Planning Officer explained that as they were submitted under one application, a split decision could not be made. The Member also asked whether officers were content that the retail study from the WYG retail consultant was up-to-date, as a food store had closed down in Faversham after the report had been done. The Senior Planning Officer explained that the retail study was up-to-date, it gave robust advice on Borough-wide retail issues.

A Member sought clarification on the failure of the applicant to provide a Retail Impact Assessment (RIA) not complying to Policy MU7 of the Local Plan, and the lower threshold of when an assessment was required. The Senior Planning Officer advised that this recommendation had not been subject to public consultation or formed part of an emerging plan policy or guidance document and so little weight could be put on that. He added that if WYG had considered a RIA was required, they would have said so, as they were the same company that undertook the Retail Needs Study.

A Member asked what measures would be in place to mitigate air quality issues? The Environmental Protection Team Leader referred to the report that Members had received and was also tabled at the meeting. He said the report indicated that there would be no adverse effect from the development, and so mitigation was very minor. He explained that it was difficult to quantify what levels of pollution there would be in the town. He stated that the level of adverse effect on the Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) was negligible. The Member said that court findings had required developers to show that they had reduced harm on a development. The Environmental Protection Team Leader explained that the report referred to above had been written prior to the court decision. He said that issues of mitigation were taken very seriously.

A Member requested details of bus stops in the vicinity of the development. The Senior Planning Officer explained that at the moment there was a bus stop facility for going south, towards Ashford. There was no convenient location on the other side, but funding could deliver a layby for a bus stop facility.

The Chairman moved the officer recommendation to approve the application and this was seconded by Councillor Ben J Martin.

Members were invited to debate the application and raised points which included:

- The applicant had improved the supermarket design, but the hotel design still needed to be amended; and
- the application should be deferred.

Councillor Simon Clark moved the following amendment to condition (30): That no deliveries should take place outside the hours of 0700 hours and 2100 hours Monday to Saturday, and no deliveries should take place on a Sunday or Bank Holiday outside the hours of 0900 hours and 2000 hours, and these would then

negate the need for the Delivery Management Plan 2018. This was seconded by Councillor Tony Winckless.

There was some discussion on whether the amendment should be delegated to officers, subject to discussions as to whether these times worked for the two businesses. On being put to the vote the amendment was agreed.

Members made further comments on the substantive motion:

- Concerned with the change from a convenience store to a supermarket;
- additional vehicles would impact on air quality;
- queuing traffic on the A2/A251 would get worse;
- needed to be mindful on the impact on the town centre, with a potential trend of discounted supermarkets taking more trade than more traditional supermarkets;
- shoppers would not visit the town centre after shopping at this supermarket;
- this type of supermarket was needed;
- the convenience store would have been sustainable;
- there were no supermarkets in the southern part of Faversham;
- the design had improved;
- sustainable measures improved;
- no reason to object;
- in principle did not object, but still did not like the design; and
- these buildings were in a prominent position and the design needed to be right.

Councillor Monique Bonney moved the following motion: That the application be deferred so that the design of the hotel and retail unit could be improved. This was seconded by Councillor Simon Clark.

Members made the following comments in response to the motion:

- Torn, as the design could be improved, but it was reasonable at the moment, and was in-keeping;
- the Council did not have good design policies and guidance for developers in the first place;
- there were plenty of design options for the hotel chain to choose from their portfolio; and
- deferral was not needed, it was good to have retail competition.

At this point the Senior Planning Solicitor drew Members' attention to paragraph 3.19 in the report which outlined the concern that Members had with the development. He reminded Members that the application had already been deferred and called-in, and questioned what could be achieved by deferring it again.

The Conservation and Design Manager considered the hotel design was a strong and contemporary design and complementary to other large buildings on site. Both the hotel and supermarket were on higher ground than the houses along Ashford Road, and so a flat roof was more beneficial as it was not so imposing. The

contemporary design also fitted in well with the proposed business units on the site, and so there was an overall context to the design. High quality building materials would be used. The hotel was articulated in a vertical plane with projection forward to the main façade to enliven the appearance. The Conservation and Design Manager considered the design to be clean and crisp, and worked well in the location.

The motion for deferral was put to the vote and lost.

Members spoke to the substantive motion and made the following comments:

- No objection to the hotel, but the supermarket was a convenience store in the outline application;
- loss of sustainable development without the convenience store;
- impact on viability of the town centre, traffic and air quality;
- considered the impact of the development was not negligible;
- traffic queues at the A2/A251 junction would be very substantial;
- signalising of the junction would reduce delays dramatically, and this needed to happen before the development was built;
- reports indicated that by 2031 the junction would be beyond capacity, irrespective of this application;
- hotel was fine, could not support the supermarket; and
- precautionary principles should be applied at the A2/A251 junction to protect public health.

Councillor Monique Bonney moved the following addendum: That a condition be added so that where possible, local building materials, in particular bricks, were sourced in Swale. This was seconded by Councillor Simon Clark. On being put to the vote the addendum was agreed.

In accordance with Procedure Rule 19(2) a recorded vote was taken on the motion to delegate to officers to approve the application subject to conditions (1) to (41) in the report, resolution over the process to secure bus stop improvements on Ashford Road, completion of a Section 106 Agreement to secure highways contributions (£99,960 requested by KCC Highways and Transportation and £27,105 requested by Highways England) and a £20,000 contribution towards off-site EV points and voting was as follows:

For: Councillors Cameron Beart, Roger Clark, Simon Clark, Tim Gibson, James Hall, James Hunt, Carole Jackson, Richard Palmer, Paul Stephen, Ben J Martin and Tony Winckless.

Against: Councillors Monique Bonney, David Simmons and Tim Valentine.

Resolved: That delegated powers be given to officers to approve application 18/502735/FULL subject to conditions (1) to (41) in the report, and the amendment of conditions (3) and (30) to refer to the use of local materials, particularly bricks, being sourced in Swale where possible, and to control delivery hours to between 0700 and 2100 hours Monday to Saturday, and 0900 and 2000 hours on Sundays, Bank Holidays and Public Holidays,

resolution over the process to secure bus stop improvements on Ashford Road, completion of a Section 106 Agreement to secure highways contributions (£99,660 requested by KCC Highways and Transportation and £27,105 requested by Highways England) £30,000 for sustainable transport measure, and a £20,000 contribution towards off-site EV points.

293 SCHEDULE OF DECISIONS

PART 2

Applications for which **PERMISSION** is recommended

2.1 REFERENCE NO - 19/503518/FULL		
APPLICATION PROPOSAL		
Demolition of existing buildings and erection of a single storey building to be used as a self-contained holiday accommodation with associated parking to front (revised scheme to 18/504141/FULL).		
ADDRESS The Barns Kemsdale Road Hernhill Kent ME13 9JL		
WARD Boughton And Courtenay	PARISH/TOWN COUNCIL Hernhill	APPLICANT Mr McGuire AGENT Miriam Layton Architectural Design

Colin McGuire, the Applicant, spoke in support of the application.

The Chairman invited Members to ask questions.

A Member asked for more information on the zinc roofing. The Conservation and Design Manager explained that it would be sheet zinc roofing and would emulate outbuildings in the area. He considered the change of roofing to be reasonable. Weathered zinc would be used which would add to the aesthetics of the design, and the net effect on the Conservation Area would be neutral.

A Member asked if there was a condition for the materials being used and the Planner confirmed that this was covered by condition (3) in the report.

The Chairman moved the officer recommendation to approve the application and this was seconded by Councillor Ben J Martin.

There was no debate.

Resolved: That application 19/503518/FULL be approved subject to conditions (1) to (9) in the report.

2.2 REFERENCE NO - 19/503819/FULL		
APPLICATION PROPOSAL Erection of a detached residential dwelling (revised scheme to 18/506309/FULL).		
ADDRESS Land Adjacent To Cromas Callaways Lane Newington Kent ME9 7LX		
WARD Hartlip, Newington And Upchurch	PARISH/TOWN COUNCIL Newington	APPLICANT Pimpernel Properties LTD AGENT

This application was withdrawn from the agenda.

2.3 REFERENCE NO - 19/504198/FULL		
APPLICATION PROPOSAL Use of land for car parking (Retrospective)		
ADDRESS Former Depot St Michaels Road Sittingbourne Kent ME10 3DN		
WARD Chalkwell	PARISH/TOWN COUNCIL	APPLICANT Mr Mehtab Asghar AGENT

The Area Planning Officer introduced the application and reported that the applicant had submitted a letter outlining the historic use of the site for parking for many years, and the demand for parking in the town centre. In response, the Area Planning Officer explained that Council records showed that it was unlikely that the site had a lawful use as a car park over the last 10 years.

Antony Brown, an objector, spoke against the application.

The Chairman invited Members to ask questions.

A Member asked what changes would be made to the surface of the site? The Area Planning Officer explained that there were no proposals to re-surface the site, and permission was not needed for that in any case.

A Member asked if there could be a condition requiring signs asking users of the car park to turn off engines whilst stationary. The Area Planning Officer confirmed that a condition could be added.

A Member asked for details of the layout of the car park, especially in relation to the nearby church. The Area Planning Officer referred the Member to page 137 of the report and explained that KCC Highways and Transportation had not specified anything for this application as they had taken the view that it was a private car park. He added that the car park was laid out informally at the moment, but advised that delegated authority could be given to officers to approve a formal layout, in consultation with the Ward Member.

A Member asked about the use of the site and the Area Planning Officer explained that the back of the site had been informally used for parking for many years, and in terms of parking on the main part of the site in the past, this had been ancillary to the previous existing buildings.

A Member asked how much the car parking cost per day. The Area Planning Officer said that it was £3 per day, but that this was not a planning matter.

The Chairman moved the officer recommendation to approve the application and this was seconded by Councillor Ben J Martin.

The Ward Member spoke against the application.

Members were invited to debate the application and raised points which included:

- There were uneven surfaces on the site, which needed to be fit for purpose;
- It would have a negative impact on the neighbouring church;
- the site was unsightly and near to a listed building;
- there was a dangerous access point;
- highway safety issues crossing the A2 from the site;
- part of the site was within a Conservation Area;
- harm to residential amenity;
- there were car parks already in the Conservation Area; and
- there were improvements that could be made to the site, such as proper tarmac, lined bays; signage etc.

Councillor James Hunt moved the following motion: That the application be deferred so that the applicant made the site more presentable. This was seconded by Councillor Cameron Beart. On being put to the vote the motion was lost.

The Area Planning Officer suggested conditions could be added to the application in relation to re-surfacing; layout; and a number of small signs with regard to responsible behaviour.

Members made comments which included:

- Needed to consider nearby businesses/activities that could be effected by this use;
- would like to see a landscaping condition;
- issues of traffic coming out of the entrance and turning sharp left;
- the site was near a listed building and conservation area;
- there should be EV charge points in the car park;
- the site was in a hideous condition; and
- lack of design.

The Area Planning Officer explained that as the permission was temporary, for 5 years, it was unlikely that a landscaping condition would be considered appropriate.

On being put to the vote, the substantive motion was lost.

Councillor Simon Clark moved the following motion: That the application be refused on the grounds of its impact on the high street, the Conservation Area, the residential amenity of the occupiers of High Street apartments, highway safety, its poor or lacking design and the impact on the nearby church. This was seconded by Councillor Tony Winckless.

The Area Planning Officer advised Members on the strength of the proposed reasons for refusal of the application. The Conservation and Design Manager advised that it was difficult to make a strong case on the Conservation Area grounds and this would need to be proved.

Councillor Simon Clark moved the following motion: That the application be refused on the grounds of harm to residential amenity, including the church, in terms of noise and disturbance; highway safety; and poor design giving rise to harm to the character and appearance of the area. This was seconded by Councillor Tony Winckless. On being put to the vote the motion was agreed.

Resolved: That application 19/504198/FULL be refused on the grounds of harm to residential amenity, including the church, in terms of noise and disturbance; highway safety; and poor design giving rise to harm to the character and appearance of the area.

2.4 REFERENCE NO - 19/503442/FULL

APPLICATION PROPOSAL

Retrospective application for a change of use of an agricultural building to a storage use.

ADDRESS Cleve Hill Farm Cleve Hill Graveney Kent

WARD Boughton And Courtenay	PARISH/TOWN COUNCIL Graveney With Goodnestone	APPLICANT East Kent Recycling Limited AGENT Waterman Infrastructure And Environment Ltd
---------------------------------------	--	---

This application was considered by the reconvened Planning Committee on 14 October 2019.

2.5 REFERENCE NO - 19/503515/FULL

APPLICATION PROPOSAL

Proposed cladding of open bays to existing agricultural barns, including insertion of doors to the east and south elevations.

ADDRESS Rides House Farm Warden Road Eastchurch Sheerness Kent ME12 4HA

WARD Sheppey East	PARISH/TOWN COUNCIL Eastchurch	APPLICANT Mr & Mrs W Love AGENT Bloomfields
-----------------------------	--	---

This application was considered by the reconvened Planning Committee on 14 October 2019.

2.6 REFERENCE NO - 19/502283/FULL		
APPLICATION PROPOSAL Erection of a block of 7 no. dwellings with parking, refuse and cycle store.		
ADDRESS Land Adjacent To Crescent House Otterham Quay Lane Upchurch Kent ME8 7UY		
WARD Hartlip, Newington And Upchurch	PARISH/TOWN COUNCIL Upchurch	APPLICANT Heritage Designer Homes AGENT Mr Paul Fowler

This application was considered by the reconvened Planning Committee on 14 October 2019.

2.7 REFERENCE NO - 19/501493/FULL		
APPLICATION PROPOSAL Single storey front, side and rear extension with loft conversion to residential bungalow and creation of new parking as amended by drawings NB1917.06B and NB1917.07B.		
ADDRESS New Bungalow Staplestreet Road Dunkirk Faversham Kent ME13 9TJ		
WARD Boughton And Courtenay	PARISH/TOWN COUNCIL Dunkirk	APPLICANT Mr Paul Lloyd AGENT Wyndham Jordan Architects

This application was considered by the reconvened Planning Committee on 14 October 2019.

2.8 REFERENCE NO - 18/506417/FULL		
APPLICATION PROPOSAL Residential development consisting of 72no. 3 and 4 bedroom dwellings with associated garaging, parking and infrastructure.		
ADDRESS Land At Southsea Avenue, Scarborough Drive, Augustine Road, Sexburga Drive And The Broadway Minster-on-sea Kent ME12 2NF		
WARD Minster Cliffs	PARISH/TOWN COUNCIL Minster-On-Sea	APPLICANT Malro Homes Ltd AGENT Kent Design Partnership

The Senior Planning Officer drew Members' attention to the tabled paper for this item. This included an update on affordable housing on the site, and a request from KCC that an informative in relation to broadband connection be added. The Senior

Planning Officer also reported that two further letters had been received in objection to the application which raised issues already noted in the report.

Annette Kin, an objector, spoke against the application.

The Chairman invited Members to ask questions.

A Member asked if the un-adopted roads on site would be adopted? The Senior Planning Officer stated that the roads would be brought up to an adoptable standard, with links from The Broadway onto the site. The Senior Planning Solicitor advised that providing a route touched an adopted highway, under Section 37 of the Highways Act 1980, a notice would be sent to KCC to require the road to be adopted.

A Member asked whether there were any planning constraints as the application site was within flood zones two and three. The Senior Planning Officer advised that the Environment Agency had been consulted and had recommended a condition to mitigate this, and referred the Member to condition (5) in the report.

The Chairman moved the officer recommendation to approve the application and this was seconded by Councillor Ben J Martin.

A Ward Member spoke against the application.

Members were invited to debate the application and raised points which included:

- Had the appropriate ecology surveys been undertaken?;
- character of the area was a mix, so this would fit in;
- the 2.5 storey design would effect visual amenity and residential amenity;
- this was not the best design;
- large gardens on The Broadway reduced the issue of overlooking;
- concern with the distance of the open space in relation to the application site, and the need to cross a public highway to reach it, and a crossing should be provided; and
- there should be a site visit; and
- design should be amended to provide open space on site.

Councillor Tony Winckless moved the following motion: That the application be deferred so that a better design could be achieved, and a crossing point to the open space be provided. This was seconded by Councillor Richard Palmer. There was some discussions on the motion and the detail of what Members wanted to include. On being put to the vote the motion was agreed.

Resolved: That application 18/506417/FULL be deferred so that officers discuss with the developer potential amendments to the storey heights and how they related to surrounding dwellings, including the possibility of bungalows replacing some of the proposed houses, a crossing point to be provided to allow easier access to open space and to explore whether open space could be provided within the development site.

2.9 REFERENCE NO - 19/502925/FULL**APPLICATION PROPOSAL**

Variation of conditions 14 (opening hours) and 15 (delivery hours) to application 15/510051/FULL to enable the laundry business to be able to operate more flexibly to meet customer needs and in a manner as prior to the fire in June 2013.

ADDRESS Faversham Laundry 29 Ashford Road Faversham Kent ME13 8XN

WARD Watling

PARISH/TOWN COUNCIL
Faversham Town

APPLICANT Mr Richard Cope

AGENT DHA Planning

This application was considered by the reconvened Planning Committee on 14 October 2019.

PART 3

Applications for which **REFUSAL** is recommended

3.1 REFERENCE NO - 19/503793/FULL**APPLICATION PROPOSAL**

Erection of two storey rear and side extension and single storey side entrance lobby with associated new site access path.

ADDRESS 6 The Broadway Minster-on-sea Sheerness Kent ME12 2RN

WARD Minster Cliffs

PARISH/TOWN COUNCIL
Minster-On-Sea

APPLICANT Hillton Dentistry

AGENT Richard Baker Partnership

This application was withdrawn from the agenda.

PART 5

Decisions by County Council and Secretary of State, reported for information

This item was considered by the reconvened Planning Committee on 14 October 2019.

294 ADJOURNMENT OF MEETING

The Meeting was adjourned from 8.57pm to 9.07pm.

295 SUSPENSION OF STANDING ORDERS

At 10pm and 10.30pm Members agreed to the suspension of Standing Orders in order that the Committee could complete its business.

Chairman

Copies of this document are available on the Council website <http://www.swale.gov.uk/dso/>. If you would like hard copies or alternative versions (i.e. large print, audio, different language) we will do our best to accommodate your request please contact Swale Borough Council at Swale House, East Street, Sittingbourne, Kent, ME10 3HT or telephone the Customer Service Centre 01795 417850.

All Minutes are draft until agreed at the next meeting of the Committee/Panel